

# Spatial and temporal analysis of earthquakes in Southern California based on K-means clustering and b-value analysis



Brian Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Min Chen<sup>2</sup>



#### <sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics and Data Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Computational Mathematics, Science, and Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA



#### **Motivation**

- Analyzing earthquake clusters offers insight into seismic hazards of different geological and tectonic settings.
- Unsupervised clustering algorithms provide more objective clustering more efficiently than clustering done by human experts.

## **Objectives**

- Improve spatial clustering of earthquakes by adding earthquake-fault distance constraints to a classical K-means algorithm.
- Analyze and evaluate spatial and temporal variations of the b-value of the earthquake clusters derived from the modified algorithm.

#### **Methods**

K-means: widely used in earthquake clustering and seismic hazard analysis<sup>5</sup>



Silhouette score (S): measures how well data is clustered (averaged over all points i)6

$$s_i = \frac{b_i - a_i}{\max(a_i, b_i)}$$

$$S = \overline{s_i}$$
b separatic

**Gutenberg-Richter Law:** 

$$\log N = a - b$$

- a, b: constants
- **b-value:** the value of b in the G-R Law, roughly the ratio of small to large earthquakes
- Magnitude of completeness (M<sub>c</sub>): minimum magnitude where all earthquakes are detected by the local seismic network, estimated using the entire magnitude range (EMR) method<sup>2</sup>

# References





Andreas, San Jacinto, Elsinore) to K-means.

of faults and weights to find the best one.

• M<sub>c</sub>: magnitude of completeness

 $\hat{b} = \frac{\log e}{\bar{M} - M_e}$ 

b-value from the G-R Law:



#### July 4, 2016 – July 3, 2019 53,000 earthquake locations Retrieved from Southern California Seismic Network **Ridgecrest Earthquakes** • July 4, 2019: M., 6.4 July 5, 2019: M, 7.1 Largest earthquakes in the region over the last 10 years Some distant clusters have similar time series: suggests interactions and distant stress Classical K-means: S = 0.590373 transfer between faults Modified K-means: S = 0.60957 b-value Entire Study Region peak b-values in foreshocks? . 10/2018–2/2019 (n,w) = (10000,500)fewer small guakes, increasing number buildup of stress of small quakes Selected Clusters Cluster I peak b-values in Cluster 2 (n,w) = (500,25). 9/2018–12/2018 peak b-values

**Results: Temporal variations of b-value** 

Data Set

## Conclusions

- The modified K-means algorithm produced better spatial clustering of earthquakes.
- The spatial and temporal b-value analysis of earthquake clusters suggests that the physical properties of the crust control the earthquake spatial distribution and that the interactions between different fault systems can affect the occurrence of large crustal earthquakes.

#### Acknowledaements

We acknowledge support from NSF Grant OAC-1560168, the Institu earch Experience for Students at Michigan State University